



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/300,978	04/28/1999	LYNN E. SPITLER	204372000301	5058

7590 03/12/2002
KATE H MURASHIGE
MORRISON & FOERSTER
2000 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE N W
WASHINGTON, DC 200061812

EXAMINER

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 03/12/2002

18

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

09/300978

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

EXAMINER

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1644 18

DATE MAILED:

NOTIFICATION OF NON-COMPLIANCE WITH 37 CFR 1.192(c)

The Appeal Brief filed 12/18/01 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See 1092 O.G. 33, July 12, 1988 and MPEP § 1206.

Applicant is given a TIME LIMIT of ONE MONTH from the date of this letter or any time remaining in the period under 37 CFR 1.192(a) for filing a new complete brief. If a new brief that fully complies with 37 CFR 1.192(c) is not timely submitted, the appeal will be dismissed as of the date of expiration of the period provided by 37 CFR 1.192(a). No extension of this one month time limit may be obtained under either 37 CFR 1.136(a) or (b) but the original two-month period under 37 CFR 1.192(a) for filing the brief may be extended under 37 CFR 1.136(a) up to six months from the date of the Notice of Appeal.

1. ☐ The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 1.192(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order. (See explanation in box 8 below, if appropriate.)
2. ☒ The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify the appealed claims. 37 CFR 1.192(c)(1). (See explanation in box 8 below, if appropriate.)
3. ☐ The brief does not contain a statement of the status of each amendment filed subsequent to the final rejection. 37 CFR 1.192(c)(2). (See explanation in box 8 below, if appropriate.)
4. ☐ The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters. 37 CFR 1.192(c)(3). (See explanation in box 8 below, if appropriate.)
5. ☐ The brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review. 37 CFR 1.192(c)(4). (See explanation in box 8 below, if appropriate.)
6. ☒ The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto. 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7). (See explanation in box 8 below, if appropriate.)
7. ☒ The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal. 37 CFR 1.192(c)(6). (See explanation in box 8 below, if appropriate.)
8. ☐ Explanation in support of items 1-7 above, if appropriate:

PHILLIP GAMBEL, PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER

3/4/02

APPLICANT'S AMD. AFTER FINAL (AFTER APPEAL BRIEF), FILED
1/18/02 HAS BEEN ENTERED, GIVEN IT REDUCES ISSUES ON
APPEAL

APPEAL BRIEF DOES NOT ADDRESS DOUBLE PATENTING

APPEAL BRIEF DOES CONTAIN A CORRECT COPY OF CLAIMS

APPLICANT IS INVITED TO ADDRESS DEFINITION OF
TUMOR ASSOCIATED ANTIGEN, INCLUDING WHETHER PSMA/
PAP WERE CONSIDERED TUMOR ASSOCIATED ANTIGENS AND
ORDINARY ANTIBODY AT THE TIME THE INVENTION